1	2	3	4	5
5	Intensive examination of work D/o shooting range for Asind 82. *m*	13-12-84	Charge-sheet issued on 31-3-87. I. O. yet to be appointed as replies to charge-sheets still awaited.	Commissioners for Departmental Enquiry nominated bv Central Vigilance Commission on 13-12-84.
6	FSF Houses at East of Kailash. •	7-6-85	Charge-sheet issued on 6-11-85. Replies received from the Charged Officer are being examined with reference to the record which is yet to be seized Efforts are made to trace/seize it.	Commissioners for Departmental Enquiry changed by Central Vigilance Commission on 30-5-86.
7	Acceptance of substandard work causing over payment to private contractor	25-3-85	Addtional records are being obtained for further investigation of thecasc on certain point before issuing the charge-sheet.	Commissioners for Departmental Enquiry nominated by Central Vigilance Commission on 25-3-85.
8	C/o 224 MIG DU_S at Bodella Pkt B	9-4-85	Charge-sheet issued in March 87 I O yet to be appointed, as replies nor received.	Commissioner for Departmental Enquiry nominated by C. V. C. on 9-4-85.
9	Puchasing of mulba for filling dipression at unjustified higher rates.	15-5-85	I. O. yet to be appointed. Records are not available which are being obtained/ seized for preparing the charge-sheets.	Commissioners f#r Departmental Enquiry nominated by Central Vigilance Commission on 15-5-85.
10	C/o 960 LIG houses at Dilshad Garden (Balance work).	11-10-84	Charge-sheets have not been issued due to non-ayailability of records. Efforts are being made to collect the same.	Departmental Enquiry nominated by Central Vigilaaee Commission on 20-11-84.
11	Collapse of a retaring wall at Kalkaji under HD VI.	19-7-85	Charge-sheets could not be issued to- the charged officers/offic, il; i, relevant record is not readily available. Effort!, are being made to *ti* seize the record.	Commissioners for Departmental Enquiry nominated by Central Vigilance Commission on 19-7-85.

Advance payment by the DDA (or Sub-standard Material

2150. SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact tbat the Central Vigilance Commission in its 22nd Report had mentioned cases in which the Delhi Development Authority had made advance payment for supply of sub-standard materials; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken in each case and the details of instructions issued for the future?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOP-

MENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a)' Yes, Sir, one such case has been cited in the said report.

(b) The case is tinder investigation by Ihe DDA. The instructions in this, regard existed, which are given in the attached statement.

Statement

DELHI DEVELOPMENT

AUTHORITY No. EMI(10)83/5715 Dt. 7-8-

84 CIRCULAR NO. 57

On the subject of secured advances, the following instructions are being issued to • be strictly followed by ail concerned in-chiding Divisional Accountants.

1. Secured advances should not be al lowed unless the work has already been physically started at site or it can be taken up straight away. A certicafite to this effect should be recorded in the bill and the measurement book in which the secured' advance is proposed by the officer pre paring the bill and the countersigned by the EE.

2. Market rates on the basis of which secured advance is sanctioned should be verified and compared with the rates pub-lished by the Delhi Admn, from time to time. The reasons for differences, if amy, should be recorded by the AE concerned.

3. Secured advances should be sanc-tioned only for quantities brought actually •at fite and which are required for bona-fide use of work. Measurements for such materials should be --ecorded in the measurement book. -"100 per cent test check of the measurements, should be carried out by the AE and certificate to this effect recorded en the bill. EE will, however, be respon ible for the general correctness.

4. Secured advances should be sanc-tioned only for those quantities of materials which conform to the relevant specific and for which the required tests have been got carried out and the results obtained. A certificate to this effect should be given by the AE incharge of work before making payment of a secured advance to the contractor.

5. A strict watch should be kept on the materials om which secured advances have been paid to ensure that materials on actually consumed on work and are not removed by the contractor if any contractor makes an effort to remove the materials from the site of work, immediate action should be taken against the contractor and a theft report lodged with the Police.

6. Final bills of the contractors should not normally be passed for payment un-. less the observations/objections raised by the quality control cell or the Chief Technical Examiner are settled or dropped. EEs should take personal interest in getting the observations settled. Only in exceptional -cases can the final bill be paid by with-holding an amount against pending observations. In such a case however, EEs must ensure that a sufficient amount against all possible recoveries is withheld. The AE concerned "should record a certificate which will be countersigned by the EE before the final bill is paid.

The above instructions are issuid for strict compliance by all concerned including Divisional Accountant who will bo held personally responsible in case of default. Strict disciplinary action would ba taken against defaulting officers.

Awarding of contracts by the DA without inviting tenders

2151. SHRI LAL K. ADVANI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Vigilance Commission on its 22nd Report had cited cases of the Delhi Development Authority awarding conuacts without in viting tenders; and

(b) if so, what follow-up action has been taken in each case?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) Yes, Sir, one such case ha^ been cited i* the said report